PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference US030442WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/IB2004/003570	International filing date (day/month/year) 01 November 2004 (01.11.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 07 November 2003 (07.11.2003)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS, N.V.				

1.	1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 8 sheets, including this cov	ver sheet.		
	In the attached sheets, any refere to the international preliminary r	nce to the written opinion of t eport on patentability (Chapte	he International Searching Authority should be read as a reference r I) instead.		
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items	:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	•		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under applicability; citations and	Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter-	national application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	e international application		
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).				
	Date of issuance of this report 08 May 2006 (08.05.2006)				
	The International Bure	au of WIPO	Authorized officer		
	34, chemin des Cole 1211 Geneva 20, Sw	ombettes vitzerland	Cecile Chatel		
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35			Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 60		

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREAT REC'D 3 1 JAN 2005

=			_	-	
ı				_	_
١	л	/	ır	٦.	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

see form PCT/ISA/220

To:	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No. PCT/B2004/003570

International filing date (day/month/year) 01.11.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)

07.11.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

A61B8/00, A61B8/06, G10K11/34

Applicant

KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS, N.V.

- This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:
 - Box No. I
- Basis of the opinion
- ☑ Box No. II
- Priority
- Box No. III
- Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- □ Box No. IV
- Lack of unity of invention
- Box No. V
- Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial
- applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Certain defects in the international application

- ☑ Box No. VI
- Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application
- **FURTHER ACTION** 2.

if a demand for International preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 ·

Willig, H

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7464



International application No. PCT/IB2004/003570

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.	With re	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	la	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following inguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search inder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With re	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and eary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forn	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	h: C	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as opropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additio	onal comments:

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003570

_	Вох	No. II	Priority
1.	\boxtimes	The fol	llowing document has not been furnished:
		⊠	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
		Conse neverti	quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.		has be	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim en found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis.</i> 1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international ate indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.		was no	not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document of available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has neless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
4.	Add	itional o	observations, if necessary:

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003570

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
		the entire international applicat	ion,		
	\boxtimes	claims Nos. 1-14			
	bec	ause:			
	×	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-14 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
		see separate sheet			
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
	\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 1-14			
		the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:			
	•	the written form		has not been furnished	
				does not comply with the standard	
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished	
				does not comply with the standard	
	□	the tables related to the nucleonot comply with the technical re	otide a equire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.	
		See separate sheet for further	detai	ls	

International application No. PCT/IB2004/003570

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

15-19

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

15-19

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

15-19

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 70.10) and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/003570

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-A-5 860 931 D2: US-A-5 944 666

Re Item III

Claims 1-14 relate to methods for treatment of the human body by surgery (Rule 67.1(iv) PCT). The reasons are as follows.

The methods claimed in independent **claims 1** and **11** implicitly require the administration of an ultrasound contrast agent containing microbubbles to the living human or animal body. The administration of ultrasound contrast agents is generally performed by way of injection or infusion, for instance intravenously. The administration of the ultrasound contrast agent containing microbubbles is, therefore, considered a surgical step, by means of which the claimed methods as a whole are considered to be methods for treatment by surgery.

Therefore, an opinion with respect to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability is not established for **claims 1-14** (Art. 34(4)(a)(I) PCT).

Re Item V

- The subject-matter of independent **claim 15** lacks novelty according to Art. 33(2) PCT. The reasons are as follows.
- 1.1 Document D1 (see fig. 1, col. 1, l. 28-30) discloses an ultrasound imaging system (100) comprising
 - (a) an ultrasound scanhead (160) having a plurality of array transducer elements (see figs. 1, col. 4, l. 27-32),
 - (b) a transmitter (165, 120) coupled to the scanhead, the transmitter being operable

to couple a first signal to a first plurality of the transducer array elements having an intensity that causes broad ultrasound waves to be generated by the array transducer elements with a sufficient amplitude to destroy microbubbles in tissues insonified by the ultrasound, the transmitter further being operable to couple a second signal to a group of transducer elements having an intensity that causes focused ultrasound to be generated by the array transducer elements with an insufficient amplitude to destroy significant amounts of microbubbles in tissue insonified by the ultrasound (see figs. 1, 5, col. 8, l. 58-60, col. 4, l. 7-14, 24-43, col. 9, l. 11-16, 23-34),

- (c) an ultrasound receiver (165, 125, 170) coupled to the scanhead, the receiver operable to couple respective ultrasound reflection signals from the transducer elements in response to the second signal (see fig. 1, col. 8, l. 60-65),
- (d) a processor (110, 175, 195) coupled to the transmitter to couple the first signal to elements of the transducer array and then repetitively couple the second signal to the transducer elements, the processor further being coupled to the ultrasound receiver and being operable to process signals from the receiver generated responsive to the ultrasound reflection signals (see fig. 1, col. 9, l. 21-52),
- (e) a display device (145) coupled to the processor for displaying ultrasound images generated from the processed signals from the receiver (see fig. 1, col. 9, I. 52-56).

Accordingly, all features of claim 15 are known from document D1.

- 1.2 It is noted that the system of claim 15 also appears to be anticipated by the disclosure of document D2 (see the passages cited in the international search report).
- Dependent claims 16-19 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty according to Art. 33(2) PCT. The additional features defined in these claims appear to be known from document D1 (see the passages cited above and in the international search report).